

1 HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP  
2 Steven M. Levitan (Bar No. 148716)  
3 4085 Campbell Ave., Suite 100  
4 Menlo Park, CA 94025  
5 Telephone: (650) 463-4032  
6 Facsimile: (650) 463-4199  
7 steve.levitan@hoganlovells.com

8 Counsel for *Amici Curiae American Council*  
9 *on Education and 23 Other Higher Education*  
10 *Organizations*

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE  
14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;  
15 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF  
16 MANUFACTURERS; BAY AREA  
17 COUNCIL; NATIONAL RETAIL  
18 FEDERATION; AMERICAN  
19 ASSOCIATION OF INTERNATIONAL  
20 HEALTHCARE RECRUITMENT;  
21 PRESIDENTS’ ALLIANCE ON HIGHER  
22 EDUCATION AND IMMIGRATION;  
23 CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF  
24 TECHNOLOGY; CORNELL  
25 UNIVERSITY; THE BOARD OF  
26 TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND  
27 STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY;  
28 UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN  
CALIFORNIA; UNIVERSITY OF  
ROCHESTER; UNIVERSITY OF UTAH;  
and ARUP LABORATORIES,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT  
OF HOMELAND SECURITY;  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT  
OF LABOR; CHAD F. WOLF,  
in his official capacity as Acting Secretary  
of Homeland Security; and EUGENE  
SCALIA, in his official capacity as  
Secretary of Labor,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:20-cv-7331-JSW

**[PROPOSED] AMICI CURIAE BRIEF OF  
THE AMERICAN COUNCIL ON  
EDUCATION AND 23 OTHER HIGHER  
EDUCATION ORGANIZATIONS IN  
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION  
FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
STATEMENT OF INTEREST .....	1
INTRODUCTION .....	3
ARGUMENT .....	5
I.    THE DOL AND DHS RULES THREATEN PROFOUND HARMS FOR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES. ....	5
A.    The Challenged Rules Causes Operational And Financial Harm To Universities .....	7
B.    The DHS And DOL Rules Send A Message Of Exclusion, Crippling Cross-Border Exchange Of People And Ideas .....	11
II.   THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION HAS REPEATEDLY MISUSED THE GOOD CAUSE EXCEPTION TO NOTICE-AND-COMMENT REQUIREMENT ON ISSUES AFFECTING HIGHER EDUCATION.....	13
CONCLUSION .....	15
ADDENDUM	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**CASES:**

*Am. Fed’n of Gov’t Emps., AFL-CIO v. Block*,  
655 F.2d 1153 (D.C. Cir. 1981) ..... 13

*Arizona v. United States*,  
567 U.S. 387 (2012)..... 11

*California v. Azar*,  
911 F.3d 558 (9th Cir. 2018)..... 15

*Council of Southern Mountains, Inc. v. Donovan*,  
653 F.2d 573 (D.C. Cir. 1981) ..... 13

*DHS v. Regents of the Univ. of Cal.*,  
140 S. Ct. 1891 (2020) ..... 7, 15

*E. Bay Sanctuary Covenant v. Trump*,  
349 F. Supp. 3d 838 (N.D. Cal. 2018), *aff’d* 950 F.3d 1242 (9th Cir. 2020)..... 15

*Fisher v. Univ. of Texas at Austin*,  
570 U.S. 297 (2013) ..... 12

*Fisher v. Univ. of Texas at Austin (Fisher II)*,  
136 S. Ct. 2198 (2016) ..... 12

*Grutter v. Bollinger*,  
539 U.S. 306 (2003) ..... 7, 12

*Nat’l Ass’n of Mfrs. v. DHS*,  
2020 LEXIS 182267 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 1, 2020)..... 4, 15

*Nat’l Fed’n of Fed. Emps. v. Devine*,  
671 F.2d 607 (D.C. Cir. 1982) ..... 13

*Regents of Univ. of Cal. v. Bakke*,  
438 U.S. 265 (1978)..... 7

*Washington v. Trump*,  
847 F.3d 1151 (9th Cir. 2017)..... 3

**STATUTES:**

5 U.S.C. § 553(b) ..... 4

5 U.S.C. § 553(c) ..... 4

1 Iowa Code § 216.6A (2009)..... 9

2 N.Y. Lab. Law § 194(1) (2019) ..... 9

3 **REGULATIONS:**

4 20 C.F.R. pt. 655 ..... 6

5 *Alien Temporary Employment Labor Certification Process*, 56 Fed. Reg. 11,705

6 (Mar. 20, 1991) ..... 15

7 *Labor Condition Applications and Requirements for Employers Using Aliens on*

8 *H-1B Visas in Specialty Occupations*, 56 Fed. Reg. 37,175 (proposed Aug. 5,

9 1991) ..... 15

10 *Labor Condition Applications and Requirements for Employers Using Aliens on*

11 *H-1B Visas in Specialty Occupations*, 56 Fed. Reg. 54,720 (Oct. 22, 1991) ..... 15

12 *Strengthening the H-1B Nonimmigrant Visa Classification Program*, 85 Fed. Reg.

13 63,918 (Oct. 8, 2020) ..... 3, 4

14 *Strengthening Wage Protections for the Temporary and Permanent Employment of*

15 *Certain Aliens in the United States*, 85 Fed. Reg. 63,872 (Oct. 8, 2020) ..... 4, 6

16 **OTHER AUTHORITIES:**

17 2020 H1B Visa Report: Top H1B Visa NAICS Industry, [perma.cc/B3BM-DWAY](https://perma.cc/B3BM-DWAY) ..... 6

18 ACE and Other Higher Education Associations’ Coalition Letter to Congress (Oct.

19 19, 2020), <https://tinyurl.com/y44oxhg7>..... 3, 6, 11

20 Steven Bloom & Sarah Spreitzer, Department of Education’s Final Section 117

21 Information Collection Request Continues to Overstep Statutory Authority,

22 Higher Education Today: A Blog by ACE (June 25, 2020),

23 <https://tinyurl.com/y3wfecws>..... 14

24 Dear Colleague Letter on Transgender Students (Feb. 22, 2017),

25 <https://tinyurl.com/y2bjw2xj>..... 14

26 DHS, *Fall 2017 Statement of Regulatory Priorities*, [perma.cc/RP75-RZYM](https://perma.cc/RP75-RZYM)..... 4

27 *Employment, Hours, and Earnings from the Current Employment Statistics Survey*

28 *(National)*, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, <https://tinyurl.com/yyeap75a> (last visited Oct. 30, 2020)..... 11

Fall 2020 Enrollment (as of Sept 24), National Student Clearinghouse Research Center (Oct. 15, 2020), <https://nscresearchcenter.org/stay-informed/> ..... 6

Robert L. Glicksman, *Shattered Government*, 62 Ariz. L. Rev. 573 (2020) ..... 14

1 Michael Greenstone, Adam Looney & Harrison Marks, *The U.S. Immigration*  
 2 *System: Potential Benefits of Reform*, The Hamilton Project (2012),  
 3 <https://tinyurl.com/y2pto6vj>..... 10  
 4 Patricia Gurin et al., *Diversity and Higher Education: Theory and Impact on*  
 5 *Educational Outcomes*, 72 Harv. Educ. Rev. 330 (2002)..... 12  
 6 Michelle Hackman, *Trump Administration Announces Overhaul of H-1B Visa*  
 7 *Program*, Wall St. J. (Oct. 6, 2020), [perma.cc/U466-K969](https://perma.cc/U466-K969) ..... 6  
 8 Lisa Heinzerling, *Unreasonable Delays: The Legal Problems (So Far) of Trump’s*  
 9 *Deregulatory Binge*, 12 Harv. L. & Pol’y Rev. 13 (2018) ..... 14, 15  
 10 Shawn Hubler, *Colleges Slash Budgets in the Pandemic, With ‘Nothing Off-*  
 11 *Limits,’* N.Y. Times (updated Oct. 28, 2020), <https://tinyurl.com/yy842j8u>..... 6  
 12 *International Student Enrollment Statistics*, Educationdata.org,  
 13 <https://tinyurl.com/y3zwxgle> (last visited Oct. 30, 2020)..... 12  
 14 John Kruzel, *Trump Administration Rescinds Foreign Students Rule*, The Hill  
 15 (July 14, 2020), <https://tinyurl.com/ybrj3uca>..... 14  
 16 Peter McDonough, *Campus Diversity in the Age of Fisher II: Broadening the*  
 17 *Conversation*, 19 The Presidency 8 (2016)..... 12  
 18 NAFSA: Ass’n of Int’l Educators, *Restoring U.S. Competitiveness for*  
 19 *International Students and Scholars* (June 2006), <https://tinyurl.com/y2ytcp6t> ..... 13  
 20 NAFSA *International Student Economic Value Tool*, NAFSA,  
 21 <https://tinyurl.com/y3lxm85d> (last visited Oct. 30, 2020) ..... 10  
 22 Nat’l Foundation for American Policy, *An Analysis of the DOL H-1B Wage Rule*  
 23 (Oct. 2020), [perma.cc/9Y2C-2YKG](https://perma.cc/9Y2C-2YKG) ..... 4, 5  
 24 Alex Nowrasteh, *Don’t Ban H-1B Workers: They Are Worth Their Weight in*  
 25 *Innovation*, Cato at Liberty (May 14, 2020), [perma.cc/SMW4-UUJT](https://perma.cc/SMW4-UUJT) ..... 10  
 26 Partnership for a New American Economy, *The H-1B Employment Effect: H-1Bs*  
 27 *awarded between 2010-2013 will create more than 700,000 jobs for U.S.-born*  
 28 *workers by 2020* (2015), [perma.cc/C6T2-6TKZ](https://perma.cc/C6T2-6TKZ)..... 11  
 Neil G. Ruiz, *The Geography of Foreign Students in U.S. Higher Education:*  
*Origins and Destinations*, Brookings Inst. (2014),  
<https://tinyurl.com/y9dwh53y> ..... 13  
 SEVP *Modifies Temporary Exemptions for Nonimmigrant Students Taking Online*  
*Courses During Fall 2020 Semester*, U.S. Immigration and Customs  
 Enforcement (July 6, 2020), <https://tinyurl.com/y9rsnp88> ..... 14

1 *Survey of Earned Doctorates, tbl. 24 – U.S. citizen and permanent resident*  
2 *doctorate recipients, by major field of study, ethnicity, and race: 2018,*  
3 National Science Foundation, <https://nces.nsf.gov/pubs/nsf20301/data-tables>..... 13  
4 Patrick T. Terenzini et al., *Racial and Ethnic Diversity in the Classroom: Does It*  
5 *Promote Student Learning?* 72 J. Higher Educ. 509 (2001)..... 12  
6 Times Higher Education, World University Rankings 2021,  
7 <https://tinyurl.com/yyoa8t9v> ..... 5  
8 Madeline Zavodny, American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research &  
9 the Partnership for a New American Economy, *Immigration and American*  
10 *Jobs* (Dec. 2011), [perma.cc/66K3-NZDQ](https://perma.cc/66K3-NZDQ) ..... 11  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**INTEREST OF *AMICI CURIAE***

*Amici* are 24 associations of colleges, universities, educators, trustees, and other representatives of several thousand institutions of higher education in the United States. *Amici* represent public, independent, large, small, urban, rural, denominational, non-denominational, graduate, and undergraduate institutions and faculty. Collectively, tens of thousands of H-1B visa holders are employed by the college and university members of the higher education associations who have joined this brief. *Amici*'s member institutions rely on these highly skilled professionals in campus classrooms, research settings, interdisciplinary study, and university hospitals and clinics, among other places. These professionals contribute to groundbreaking research, provide medical services to underserved and vulnerable populations, offer specialized and advanced training programs, and enable language study; they also hold critical jobs maintaining the infrastructure necessary for the institutions' operations, including by serving as information technology professionals, grant writers, and facilities professionals.

The two rules at issue in this case—issued without any notice to the public or opportunity for *amici* and their members to comment and contribute to the agency's understanding of the importance of H-1B visa holders to their campus communities, educational missions, and institutional operations—will inflict significant harm, immediately. That harm will be felt by faculty, researchers, students, and healthcare patients, and it will hurt the critical work—and global standing and reputation—of the country's colleges and universities. It will affect existing programs and research projects that can no longer be adequately staffed, lead to limitations in or the discontinuance of certain courses of study, narrow the pipeline for continued growth in high- and emerging-technology fields, and deter foreign students from coming to study in the United States. *Amici*'s interest in avoiding these harms is readily apparent.

Plaintiffs' briefing explains in detail their likelihood of success on the merits. *Amici* file this brief primarily to underscore that the harm described in the University Plaintiffs' declarations is not unique to them—it holds true for colleges and universities around the country. The full scope of the devastating injury to higher education—in the form of reliance interests, financial harms, hardships to faculty and programs, research that will go uncompleted, impacts on cross-

1 disciplinary fields, inabilities to staff critical positions, and more—would have been extensively  
2 documented in an administrative record had Department of Labor (DOL) or Department of  
3 Homeland Security (DHS) followed the rules. Notice-and-comment rulemaking is the norm for a  
4 reason: It leads to informed decisions, based on a bigger picture understanding of the issue and the  
5 consequences for affected parties, rather than agency rules that smack of whim and caprice.

6 *Amicus* American Council on Education (ACE) is the major coordinating body for  
7 American higher education. Its more than 1,700 members reflect the extraordinary breadth and  
8 contributions of four-year, two-year, public and private colleges and universities. ACE members  
9 educate two out of every three students in accredited, degree-granting U.S. institutions. ACE  
10 participates as *amicus* on occasions such as this where a case presents issues of substantial  
11 importance to higher education in the United States.

12 ACE is joined in this *amicus* brief by the following organizations, described in more detail  
13 in the Addendum: the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE); the American  
14 Association of Community Colleges (AACC); the American Association of State Colleges and  
15 Universities (AASCU); the American Dental Education Association (ADEA); the Association of  
16 American Medical Colleges (AAMC); the Association of American Universities (AAU); the  
17 Association of Catholic Colleges and Universities (ACCU); the Association of Governing Boards  
18 of Universities and Colleges (AGB); the Association of Jesuit Colleges and Universities (AJCU);  
19 the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU); the College and University  
20 Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA-HR); the Council for Advancement and  
21 Support of Education (CASE); the Council for Christian Colleges & Universities (CCCCU); the  
22 Council of Graduate Schools (CGS); the Council of Independent Colleges (CIC); NAFSA:  
23 Association of International Educators; the National Association of College and University  
24 Business Officers (NACUBO); the National Association of Diversity Officers in Higher Education  
25 (NADOHE); the National Association of Independent Colleges and Universities (NAICU); the  
26 National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators (NASFAA); the National Collegiate  
27 Athletic Association (NCAA); the State Higher Education Executive Officers Association  
28 (SHEEO); and NASPA: Student Affairs Administrators in Higher Education.



## INTRODUCTION

America’s colleges and universities are among the finest in the world. They help preserve our democratic values, drive economic growth and innovation, and ensure our global standing. One of the central reasons for the excellence of our postsecondary institutions is their ability to attract the most talented faculty, researchers, and students, from the United States and abroad. *See Washington v. Trump*, 847 F.3d 1151, 1160 (9th Cir. 2017) (explaining that American colleges and universities “have a mission of ‘global engagement’ and rely on . . . visiting students, scholars, and faculty to advance their educational goals”).

Higher education is among the sectors hit hardest by COVID-19. Last spring, our nation’s colleges and universities were forced to close their campuses and develop or adapt online learning platforms—quickly, and at great cost—even as revenue plunged across all categories of their operations, and many students struggled to adjust to rapid changes in their families’ financial circumstances by seeking refunds and turning to gap years or less expensive schools closer to home. And when many schools re-opened this fall, they faced extraordinary unbudgeted-for expenses in implementing COVID-19 safety procedures; purchasing personal protection equipment and cleaning supplies; conducting COVID-19 testing; upgrading ventilation equipment; and modifying facilities to de-densify campuses and provide space for students to quarantine. Projections for these costs have risen over time. In the spring, *amici* estimated COVID-related expenses and losses at \$46.6 billion; they later projected fall reopening costs of \$73.8 billion. Earlier this month, they told Congress that even “[t]hese projections have proven to be low.” ACE and Other Higher Education Associations’ Coalition Letter to Congress 1 (Oct. 19, 2020) (“Coalition Letter”), <https://tinyurl.com/y44oxhg7>. In addition, public institutions are facing significant cuts in state financial support as state budgets have been devastated by the COVID-19 crisis.

Amid this budgetary triage, DOL and DHS announced coordinated rules designed to effectively dismantle the H-1B foreign worker program, with enormous budgetary implications for institutions. Affected parties like *amici* and their members were given no opportunity to share the impact these rules will have on them, or to plan and prepare in any way for the dramatic changes being forced on them overnight. *See Strengthening the H-1B Nonimmigrant Visa Classification*

1 *Program*, 85 Fed. Reg. 63,918 (Oct. 8, 2020) (DHS Rule); *Strengthening Wage Protections for the*  
2 *Temporary and Permanent Employment of Certain Aliens in the United States*, 85 Fed. Reg. 63,872  
3 (Oct. 8, 2020) (DOL Rule) (collectively, the “Rules”). The 2020-2021 academic year is underway,  
4 and *amici*’s college and university members have relied on tens of thousands of H-1B visa holders  
5 to fill crucial positions for their campus communities: faculty, researchers, physicians, scholars,  
6 residents, fellows, and professional staff, in the areas of medicine, science, engineering, dentistry,  
7 liberal arts, language study, and public health, among others. Yet, as has become all too common  
8 under the current administration, DOL and DHS dispensed with the hallmark of executive agency  
9 rulemaking: giving interested parties notice and “an opportunity to participate in the rule making  
10 through the submission of written data, views, or arguments.” 5 U.S.C. § 553(b), (c).

11 DOL’s and DHS’s suggestion that they had good cause to sidestep the requirements of the  
12 Administrative Procedure Act (APA) based on “exigent circumstances” lacks facial plausibility, as  
13 explained by Plaintiffs. The Rules invoke unemployment data from a *March 27* New York Times  
14 article, 85 Fed. Reg. at 63,938 nn.138, 139, and target jobs that have been largely unaffected by the  
15 pandemic, *Nat’l Ass’n of Mfrs. v. DHS*, 2020 LEXIS 182267, at \*35 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 1, 2020).  
16 Underscoring the lack of credibility in the purportedly “exigent” circumstances justifying skipping  
17 notice-and-comment procedures, DHS stated back in 2017 that it was considering a *proposed* rule  
18 along the lines of the DHS Rule. *See DHS, Fall 2017 Statement of Regulatory Priorities*,  
19 [perma.cc/RP75-RZYM](https://perma.cc/RP75-RZYM). DHS and DOL cannot avoid giving the public an opportunity to comment  
20 and develop a record by invoking such an ill-fitting COVID-19 cloak.

21 The harms the Rules will inflict on colleges and universities are immediate and substantial.  
22 Had DOL and DHS followed the notice-and-comment rulemaking process, *amici* and their  
23 members would have detailed those harms to the agencies. The DHS Rule rewrites multiple  
24 regulatory definitions to restrict the categories of jobs eligible for H-1B visas, eliminating many  
25 positions’ eligibility to be filled by an H-1B visa holder. And the DOL Rule changes the calculation  
26 of the prevailing wage employers must pay their H-1B employees, resulting in enormous wage  
27 increases—over 200% for some positions. *See Nat’l Foundation for American Policy, An Analysis*  
28 *of the DOL H-1B Wage Rule*, at 12 (Oct. 2020), [perma.cc/9Y2C-2YKG](https://perma.cc/9Y2C-2YKG). This Rule thus forces

1 colleges and universities into an impossible choice: forgo highly skilled international employees  
2 who fill teaching and research gaps and play critical roles in healthcare systems, or compensate  
3 them at artificial, highly-inflated rates at a time when their budgets have been devastated by the  
4 global pandemic. Either choice ultimately hamstring university programming, crucial diversity  
5 initiatives, and even COVID-19 research and care. If not enjoined, critical scientific research  
6 programs will be left with unfilled positions, academic medical centers will be left with provider  
7 shortages and longer patient wait times, and universities' educational missions will be irreparably  
8 disrupted—as instructors are forced to leave mid-way through courses, schools are forced to  
9 scramble to recruit and train new employees (*if* they can find a qualified employee in the market),  
10 healthcare operations are upended, and students are forced to alter their chosen courses of study.

11 These Rules should be enjoined to prevent all of these harms. And the Court should hold  
12 that before imposing such a mass severing of employment relationships and upending the  
13 operations of thousands of colleges and universities around the country, DOL and DHS are  
14 obligated to follow the well-established notice-and-comment rulemaking procedures.

## 15 ARGUMENT

### 16 I. THE DOL AND DHS RULES THREATEN PROFOUND HARMS FOR 17 COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES.

18 American colleges and universities are consistently ranked among the world's leading  
19 institutions for higher education. *See* Times Higher Education, World University Rankings 2021,  
20 <https://tinyurl.com/yyoa8t9v>. That is the result of carefully calibrated choices, one of which is  
21 opening up our campuses to students, scholars, and researchers from all corners of the world. The  
22 DOL and DHS Rules will harm colleges' and universities' educational missions, the research they  
23 conduct, their faculty and student bodies, their healthcare systems, and their reputations. The ripple  
24 effects of the harm will extend further out still, as international students and preeminent scholars  
25 look to universities in other countries and as underserved communities in our own country lose  
26 access to healthcare professionals employed in university hospitals and clinics.

27 The context in which these harms will occur is important. COVID-19, on its own, has been  
28 an unprecedented challenge for our nation's higher education institutions to weather. Colleges and

1 universities have spent the past seven months working—at warp speed—to navigate state and local  
2 shut-down orders, develop remote-learning platforms, and create protocols for hybrid and in-person  
3 operations, all of which is subject to change as new data becomes available. Even before these  
4 Rules, then, college and university budgets were stretched to capacity. Shawn Hubler, *Colleges*  
5 *Slash Budgets in the Pandemic, With ‘Nothing Off-Limits,’* N.Y. Times (updated Oct. 28, 2020),  
6 <https://tinyurl.com/yy842j8u>. Some schools were cutting majors, others were phasing out  
7 undergraduate or graduate degrees, and still others stopped enrolling Ph.D. students. *Id.*  
8 Collectively, colleges and universities have shed more than 300,000 jobs, *id.*, as undergraduate  
9 enrollment is down 4% nationwide (16% for freshmen) and state support uncertain given projected  
10 state-level budget shortfalls. *See* Fall 2020 Enrollment (as of Sept 24), National Student  
11 Clearinghouse Research Center (Oct. 15, 2020), <https://nscresearchcenter.org/stay-informed/>;  
12 Coalition Letter, *supra*, at 1. As ACE wrote to Congress last month, at least \$120 *billion* in federal  
13 support is needed to keep higher education a reality, particularly for the most vulnerable  
14 populations colleges and universities serve. Coalition Letter, *supra*, at 1.

15 In the midst of this crisis, DOL and DHS put into immediate (DOL) and near-immediate  
16 (DHS) effect the two rules at issue here—in a candid effort to gut the H-1B system. Neither agency  
17 provided affected parties any opportunity to comment, meaning neither rule is based on the  
18 informed discussion that typically precedes agency rulemaking. Remarkably, the agencies  
19 recognized just how far the Rules reach: DHS expects to eliminate fully one-third of H-1B visas,  
20 *see* Michelle Hackman, *Trump Administration Announces Overhaul of H-1B Visa Program*, Wall  
21 St. J. (Oct. 6, 2020), [perma.cc/U466-K969](https://perma.cc/U466-K969), and DOL projects that employers will spend over \$198  
22 billion over 10 years to continue to employ H-1B visa holders, *see* 85 Fed. Reg. at 63,908.

23 The impact of these Rules on colleges and universities is immense. In 2020 alone, higher  
24 education institutions filed more than 28,000 labor condition applications.<sup>1</sup> 2020 H1B Visa Report:  
25 Top H1B Visa NAICS Industry, [perma.cc/B3BM-DWAY](https://perma.cc/B3BM-DWAY). That is not just an abstract number; it  
26 represents tens of thousands of highly educated professionals who have uprooted their lives to teach

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>1</sup> Employers must complete the labor condition applications for all new and renewed H-1B  
employees. *See generally* 20 C.F.R. pt. 655.

1 our students and advance the opportunities offered by our nation’s higher education system, many  
2 of whom have become an integral part of their campus communities. For example, an H-1B holder  
3 at a southern research university developed a successful master bioethics program, which he now  
4 oversees. The Rules are designed to forcibly sever those relationships without regard to impact on  
5 higher education institutions. And they take effect without any informed discussion of the reliance  
6 interests and higher education communities they affect. *See DHS v. Regents of the Univ. of Cal.*,  
7 140 S. Ct. 1891, 1913 (2020) (“Because DHS was not writing on a blank slate, it was required to  
8 assess whether there were reliance interests, determine whether they were significant, and weigh  
9 any such interests against competing policy concerns.”).

10 **A. The Challenged Rules Cause Operational And Financial Harm To Universities.**

11 The Supreme Court has long recognized the common-sense principle that, to “fulfill[]  
12 [their] mission[s],” colleges and universities must be able to recruit students—and, by extension,  
13 scholars and faculty—who will “contribute the most to the ‘robust exchange of ideas.’” *Grutter v.*  
14 *Bollinger*, 539 U.S. 306, 324 (2003) (quoting *Regents of Univ. of Cal. v. Bakke*, 438 U.S. 265, 313  
15 (1978)). But candidates in highly specialized fields are few and far between, and sometimes, the  
16 best ones come from abroad. The Rules were written (in secret) to force universities and other  
17 employers to let go of many of such highly skilled employees and impede future hiring, by making  
18 it impermissible (DHS) or exorbitantly expensive (DOL) to employ H-1B visa holders.

19 The Rules will hamper cutting-edge research. For example, a researcher from Japan holding  
20 an H-1B visa is currently working with the emergency medicine faculty at one leading mid-western  
21 public university to develop a COVID-19 rapid diagnostics technique; he previously took the lead  
22 on the rapid diagnostics development project for the influenza H1N1 (2009) pandemic at one of  
23 Japan’s leading research institutes. Another H-1B visa holder at that university is a biomedical  
24 engineering professor researching novel tools to screen protein markers for cancer-riddled tissues  
25 and uses of extracellular vesicles to selectively deliver molecular therapeutics or imaging reagents  
26 for solid cancers and type 1 diabetes. And one Pacific-12 public school has invested in specialized  
27 research equipment for an H-1B faculty member focused on innovative healthcare technologies.  
28 The Rules put at risk this professor’s work to educate the next generation of engineers and scientists,

1 as well as the school’s investment. Meanwhile, at another west coast leading public research  
2 institution, a quarter of the H-1B visa holders are funded, at least partially, by grants. Grants are  
3 multi-year awards with multi-year budgets that generally tie the funds to specific recipients. If the  
4 institution can no longer employ those recipients (either because they do not meet the new DHS  
5 definition of “specialty occupation” or because their wages become unsustainably high), the  
6 research funded by the grants may be put on hold or stopped altogether. And as an additional  
7 unconsidered consequence of the artificially hiked wages for H-1B visa holders, federal funding  
8 agencies’ research dollars will go less far—leaving critical research undone or incomplete.

9 Because so many university hospitals, clinics, and research centers employ H-1B medical  
10 professionals, the Rules will hamper medical training and care and hurt the public health. One  
11 leading east coast private research institution employs more than half of its H-1B employees at the  
12 medical school and the school of public health. Many of those employees also have appointments  
13 at university-affiliated hospitals. Another institution has hired a world-renowned H1-B abdominal  
14 transplant surgeon who would be extremely difficult to replace due to the specialized skillset  
15 involved. And a mid-western public flagship university recently recruited a transplant nephrologist  
16 on an H-1B visa to remedy a gaping community need: Before his arrival, the specialist to patient  
17 ratio was 1:656. These are just a few of the many examples *amici* and their members can offer.  
18 And they all come to the same end: If the Rules are left standing, university-affiliated medical  
19 institutions will be unable to recruit and retain H-1B visa holders for subspecialties and unable to  
20 provide specialty care to patients in rural and underserved areas. Postdoctoral research productivity  
21 will decline, as universities will have to proportionally cut the duration of employment for  
22 postdoctoral employees—leaving them able to perform fewer tasks and, in a best case scenario,  
23 merely a delay in the completion of research projects.

24 Throughout American higher education, the DOL Rule will cause unanticipated financial  
25 harm in a time of budget crisis. For example, for one small liberal arts college on the East Coast,  
26 the required increase to a \$208,000 default salary for positions not listed in the federal register will  
27 significantly restrict the college’s ability to retain quality existing employees when they need to  
28 renew their H-1B visas. This college prioritizes the affordability and accessibility of a college

1 degree, and expenditures of this magnitude are simply not feasible. The same is true for one  
2 midwestern university that has recently recruited a hearing and speech specialist to teach graduate  
3 courses and serve the community. The university would need to inflate his salary from \$87,500 to  
4 the default \$208,000. The same university also employs an H-1B assistant professor, partially  
5 funded by the U.S. Department of Education, to teach courses about indigenous Latin America.  
6 There were no qualified U.S. workers in the applicant pool for this position. Under the DOL Rule,  
7 the university must pay him \$119,247—a \$59,424 increase from the prevailing wage determination  
8 at the time he was hired—which would be higher than nearly every faculty member in his  
9 department, including senior full professors. For another midwestern leading research institution,  
10 the position most affected by the \$208,000 default salary will be primary care physicians who are  
11 H-1B visa holders; there is a shortage of primary care physicians in the state, and many of those H-  
12 1B visa holders work in medically underserved areas. This university also employs physicians in  
13 the specialty areas of rheumatology, endocrinology, geriatrics, and palliative care who will be  
14 severely impacted by the DOL Rule. At bottom, there can be no real debate about the financial  
15 impact: The DOL Rule requires salary increases of as much as 246%. *See* ECF No. 31-3, Shankar  
16 Decl. 4 (from \$60,000 to \$208,000 at Rochester University); ECF No. 31-15, Wolford Decl. 3  
17 (documenting required increases at Cornell of 100% and 139%).

18 The DOL Rule will impact cohorts of employees far broader than holders of H-1B visas,  
19 and dramatically increase institutional spending in the midst of a pandemic. Some states have equal  
20 pay laws that require employers to pay comparable wages to all employees within a protected class:  
21 sex, race, creed, color, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, and disability. *E.g.*, N.Y.  
22 Lab. Law § 194(1) (2019); Iowa Code § 216.6A (2009). Colleges and universities would thus have  
23 to raise wages for *domestic* faculty who are within the same protected class. Even where no such  
24 laws exist, prior pay equity initiatives and more fundamental expectations of pay fairness, will  
25 pressure institutions to raise wages for similarly-situated domestic employees. The cumulative  
26 effect of such compensation adjustments will pose financial burden on institutions, especially ones  
27 that prioritize affordability and especially during the pandemic. *See supra* 3, 6.

28 The ill effects of the Rules will be felt throughout the higher education communities, and

1 beyond. Colleges and universities themselves will suffer reputational damage from losing key  
2 international personnel (not to mention severing their contracts). Many will have to cancel, or at  
3 least restructure, research initiatives built around lead international faculty. Others will have to  
4 renegotiate grant funding, because it is typically tied to specific faculty and postdoctoral students.  
5 One research center, for instance, has at risk its \$4.1 million grant for COVID-19 research; the  
6 grant was specifically awarded to an H-1B assistant professor. As another example of the  
7 unconsidered ripple effects the Rules will cause, many institutions provide residency training for  
8 international pharmacists with the intent that they will return to their home country with the  
9 advanced skills necessary to impart patient care; the second year of this training, however, generally  
10 requires an H-1B visa. The Rules will severely limit these types of training programs.

11 Such restructuring will obviously impact students as well. They may no longer have access  
12 to top-tier international faculty, and entire sub-fields of study and research opportunities may be  
13 eliminated. For example, one premier southern university is at risk of having to let go three  
14 postdoctoral students and an assistant professor who supervise federally-funded cancer research.  
15 The laboratory would not survive without that supervision, and the 13 PhD students currently  
16 working there would have to find other positions. And international students, knowing that future  
17 job prospects are bleak, may no longer apply to American colleges and universities—even though  
18 foreign-born students contribute tens of billions of dollars to the U.S. economy and support  
19 hundreds of thousands of jobs each year. *See NAFSA International Student Economic Value Tool*,  
20 NAFSA, <https://tinyurl.com/y3lxm85d> (last visited Oct. 30, 2020) (estimating that foreign-born  
21 students contributed \$39 billion and supported 455,000 jobs during the 2017-2018 academic year).

22 And the consequences will trickle down to the U.S. at large. International scholars routinely  
23 publish pioneering peer-reviewed work in virtually all fields of knowledge. Many have a tangible  
24 impact on “patents, innovation, and entrepreneurship.” Alex Nowrasteh, *Don’t Ban H-1B Workers:*  
25 *They Are Worth Their Weight in Innovation*, Cato at Liberty (May 14, 2020) (summarizing several  
26 leading studies), [perma.cc/SMW4-UUJT](https://perma.cc/SMW4-UUJT). Unsurprisingly, study after study has shown that the  
27 presence of H-1B workers *creates* domestic jobs. *E.g.*, Michael Greenstone, et al., *The U.S.*  
28 *Immigration System: Potential Benefits of Reform*, The Hamilton Project 5 (2012),



1 <https://tinyurl.com/y2pto6vj> (reporting that, between 1995 and 2005, foreign-born innovators  
2 “founded” “more than 25 percent of the engineering and technology companies” that have  
3 “produced \$52 billion in sales and employed 450,000 workers”); Partnership for a New American  
4 Economy, *The H-1B Employment Effect: H-1Bs awarded between 2010-2013 will create more than*  
5 *700,000 jobs for U.S.-born workers by 2020*, at 1-2 (2015), [perma.cc/C6T2-6TKZ](https://perma.cc/C6T2-6TKZ); Madeline  
6 Zavodny, American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research & the Partnership for a New  
7 American Economy, *Immigration and American Jobs* 11 (Dec. 2011), [perma.cc/66K3-NZDQ](https://perma.cc/66K3-NZDQ).

8 Universities that *can* afford to keep their international faculty will hardly be better off.  
9 Expending money in this area will inevitably mean budget cuts in others, such as engagement in  
10 social entrepreneurship and funding of key community outreach programs. Non-faculty employee  
11 positions and financial aid may be among the first impacted. *See Employment, Hours, and Earnings*  
12 *from the Current Employment Statistics Survey (National)*, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics,  
13 <https://tinyurl.com/yyeap75a> (last visited Oct. 30, 2020) (noting that the vast majority of the  
14 300,000 jobs shed by colleges and universities during the pandemic were nonfaculty jobs);  
15 Coalition Letter, *supra*, at 2 (observing that 40% of students have likely lost their jobs in the  
16 pandemic and will be unable to afford postsecondary education without *additional* support).

17 As all of this shows, by refusing to go through notice-and-comment rulemaking, DOL and  
18 DHS lacked an understanding of the consequences and harms that will flow from the Rules. The  
19 result: These Rules will preclude, without reasoned analysis, our nation’s colleges and universities  
20 from engaging the talent they need to prepare the next generation of students to solve the complex  
21 issues facing our country and the world and to be global citizens.

22 **B. The DHS And DOL Rules Send A Message Of Exclusion, Crippling Cross-Border**  
23 **Exchange Of People And Ideas.**

24 One central ingredient in the success of our higher education system is its historic openness  
25 and diversity. That openness is nowhere more evident than in our tradition of recruiting top-notch  
26 foreign faculty and enrolling talented students from outside the United States. “The history of the  
27 United States is in part made of the stories, talents, and lasting contributions of those who crossed  
28 oceans and deserts to come here.” *Arizona v. United States*, 567 U.S. 387, 416 (2012). Today, our

1 colleges and universities enroll more than one million international students, *see International*  
2 *Student Enrollment Statistics*, Educationdata.org, <https://tinyurl.com/y3zwxgle> (last visited Oct.  
3 30, 2020), and employ thousands of international faculty, *see supra* 7.

4 Campus diversity creates an “atmosphere which is most conducive to speculation,  
5 experiment, and creation.” *Fisher v. Univ. of Texas at Austin*, 570 U.S. 297, 308 (2013). In  
6 dormitories and dining halls, “informal interaction with diverse peers [is] consistently influential  
7 [and positive] on all educational outcomes.” *See* Patricia Gurin et al., *Diversity and Higher*  
8 *Education: Theory and Impact on Educational Outcomes*, 72 Harv. Educ. Rev. 330, 359 (2002).  
9 Faculty and staff are critical to fostering that diverse environment. *See, e.g.*, Peter McDonough,  
10 *Campus Diversity in the Age of Fisher II: Broadening the Conversation*, Legal Watch, *The*  
11 *Presidency* 19, no. 1 (Winter) 8 (2016) (urging that “the arguments regarding compelling interest  
12 in diversity” among students should apply “with equal force” to faculty and administrators, as they  
13 “interact with students in educational settings . . . [and] serve as authority figures on campus”).  
14 Scholars hailing from different countries have confronted different social and political conditions  
15 and so can identify different problems to solve—and can see different solutions to offer. At the  
16 end of the day, international diversity challenges all of the members of an academic community to  
17 consider and evaluate their assumptions, beliefs, and biases.

18 The Supreme Court has repeatedly recognized those tangible “educational benefits that flow  
19 from a diverse student body.” *Fisher*, 570 U.S. at 308. For one, it gives students “the skills needed  
20 in today’s increasingly global marketplace,” which “major American businesses have made clear .  
21 . . can only be developed through exposure to widely diverse people, cultures, ideas, and  
22 viewpoints.” *Grutter*, 539 U.S. at 330. For another, in-class diversity significantly enhances  
23 students’ abilities both to problem-solve and to work on group projects. *See* Patrick T. Terenzini  
24 et al., *Racial and Ethnic Diversity in the Classroom: Does It Promote Student Learning?* 72 J.  
25 Higher Educ. 509, 527 (2001); *see also* Brief of Fortune-100 and Other Leading American  
26 Businesses as Amici Curiae in Support of Respondents 9-10, *Fisher v. Univ. of Texas at Austin*  
27 (*Fisher II*), 136 S. Ct. 2198 (2016) (No. 14-981) (recognizing that “diverse university setting and  
28 the cross-cultural interactions . . . are essential to the students’ ability to” navigate U.S. workplace);

1 Neil G. Ruiz, *The Geography of Foreign Students in U.S. Higher Education: Origins and*  
 2 *Destinations 2*, Brookings Inst (2014), <https://tinyurl.com/y9dwh53y> (crediting the steady stream  
 3 of international students for increases in “transnational business creation” and “trade and direct  
 4 investment between the United States” and those students’ countries of origin).

5 Diversity is multi-faceted and difficult to achieve, particularly in specialized fields. *See*  
 6 *Survey of Earned Doctorates, tbl. 24 – U.S. citizen and permanent resident doctorate recipients,*  
 7 *by major field of study, ethnicity, and race: 2018*, National Science Foundation,  
 8 <https://nces.nsf.gov/pubs/nsf20301/data-tables> (while Black doctorate recipients comprised 6.9%  
 9 of all U.S.-citizen or permanent-resident doctoral recipients in 2018, only 1.2% earned doctorates  
 10 in physics and astronomy and 2.5% in mathematics and statistics). Experience bears out those  
 11 statistics. As one independent mid-sized private university explains, limiting access to international  
 12 scholars has a considerable impact on recruiting diverse faculty and faculty who can educate  
 13 students about being global citizens; the school is currently seeking to hire a human rights scholar  
 14 from Chile.

15 Hard as it is to achieve, however, diversity is easy to destroy—in many circumstances  
 16 perception of animus alone will be enough. NAFSA: Ass’n of Int’l Educators, *Restoring U.S.*  
 17 *Competitiveness for International Students and Scholars 1* (June 2006),  
 18 <https://tinyurl.com/y2ytcp6t> (observing that the finest international students and scholars are most  
 19 interested in coming to schools that are perceived as welcoming and open-minded). Policies that  
 20 welcome the foreigners who reside within our borders are critical to preserving our higher education  
 21 system’s reputation for openness and inclusion.

22 **II. THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION HAS REPEATEDLY MISUSED THE**  
 23 **GOOD CAUSE EXCEPTION TO NOTICE-AND-COMMENT REQUIREMENT**  
 24 **ON ISSUES AFFECTING HIGHER EDUCATION.**

25 The good-cause exception is meant, as its name suggests, for “exceptional circumstances.”  
 26 *Council of Southern Mountains, Inc. v. Donovan*, 653 F.2d 573, 581 (D.C. Cir. 1981). Courts have  
 27 repeatedly admonished against transforming it into “an all-purpose escape clause.” *Nat’l Fed’n of*  
 28 *Fed. Emps. v. Devine*, 671 F.2d 607, 610 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (citing *Am. Fed’n of Gov’t Emps., AFL-*  
*CIO v. Block*, 655 F.2d 1153, 1153 (D.C. Cir. 1981)). *Amici* are concerned that the Trump

1 Administration has repeatedly thrown that admonition to the wind. *See* Lisa Heinzerling,  
2 *Unreasonable Delays: The Legal Problems (So Far) of Trump’s Deregulatory Binge*, 12 Harv. L.  
3 & Pol’y Rev. 13, 42 (2018) (cataloging scores of agency rulemaking that invoked the good-cause  
4 exception for reasons not traditionally recognized by the courts); Robert L. Glicksman, *Shuttered*  
5 *Government*, 62 Ariz. L. Rev. 573, 573 (2020) (expressing similar concerns).

6 This trend is particularly troubling for higher education—one of the crown jewels of our  
7 democracy. Americans of every background have gained enrichment, social mobility, and  
8 economic advancement by attending our postsecondary institutions. *Amici* and their members have  
9 been repeatedly forced to react—often essentially overnight—as the current Administration has  
10 refused to provide the notice-and-comment procedures critical to informed rulemaking. In 2017,  
11 for example, Departments of Justice and Education rescinded their statements of policy and  
12 guidance related to discrimination on the basis of gender identity via a Dear Colleague Letter,  
13 notifying educational institutions, after the decision had been made, that Title IX no longer requires  
14 access to sex-segregated facilities based on gender identity. *See* Dear Colleague Letter on  
15 Transgender Students (Feb. 22, 2017), <https://tinyurl.com/y2bjw2xj>. And in 2020, Immigration  
16 and Customs Enforcement (ICE) rescinded its COVID-19 exemption for international students,  
17 requiring all F-1 visa students whose university curricula are entirely online to leave the United  
18 States. *See SEVP Modifies Temporary Exemptions for Nonimmigrant Students Taking Online*  
19 *Courses During Fall 2020 Semester*, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (July 6, 2020),  
20 <https://tinyurl.com/y9rsnp88>. That directive made a 180-degree turn from ICE’s earlier guidance  
21 and afforded colleges and universities only nine days to revamp their “operational change plans” if  
22 they continued with online classes only. It was rescinded only after three lawsuits were filed and  
23 a preliminary injunction was imminent. John Kruzel, *Trump Administration Rescinds Foreign*  
24 *Students Rule*, The Hill (July 14, 2020), <https://tinyurl.com/ybrj3uca>; *see also* Steven Bloom &  
25 Sarah Spreitzer, Department of Education’s Final Section 117 Information Collection Request  
26 Continues to Overstep Statutory Authority, Higher Education Today: A Blog by ACE (June 25,  
27 2020), <https://tinyurl.com/y3wfecws> (discussing Department of Education’s expansion of reporting  
28 requirements under Section 117 without following notice-and-comment procedure).

1 Just months ago, the Supreme Court rejected the Government’s attempt to rescind DACA  
2 because the Government was “required”—but failed—to address the effect of its actions on  
3 stakeholders, including colleges and universities. *Regents of the Univ. of Cal.*, 140 S. Ct. at 1914.  
4 And this very Court recently warned that “[t]here must be some measure of constraint on  
5 presidential authority in the domestic sphere in order not to render the executive an entirely  
6 monarchical power.” *Nat’l Ass’n of Mfrs.*, 2020 LEXIS 182267, at \*35 (striking down Presidential  
7 Proclamation suspending entry for H-1B and other workers under auspices of the pandemic).

8 Those courts were correct. Notice and comment is no “empty formality”; it “reintroduce[s]  
9 public participation and fairness to affected parties after government[] authority has been delegated  
10 to unrepresentative agencies.” *E. Bay Sanctuary Covenant v. Trump*, 349 F. Supp. 3d 838, 860  
11 (N.D. Cal. 2018), *aff’d* 950 F.3d 1242 (9th Cir. 2020) (citation omitted). Indeed, the 1991 rule that  
12 was in effect until this new DOL Rule sought *multiple* rounds of comments, and made multiple  
13 revisions to incorporate concerns from a wide swath of employers, including colleges and  
14 universities. See *Alien Temporary Employment Labor Certification Process*, 56 Fed. Reg. 11,705  
15 (Mar. 20, 1991) (Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking); *Labor Condition Applications and*  
16 *Requirements for Employers Using Aliens on H-1B Visas in Specialty Occupations*, 56 Fed. Reg.  
17 37,175 (proposed Aug. 5, 1991) (Proposed Rule); *Labor Condition Applications and Requirements*  
18 *for Employers Using Aliens on H-1B Visas in Specialty Occupations*, 56 Fed. Reg. 54,720 (Oct. 22,  
19 1991) (Interim Final Rule). DHS’s and DOL’s failure to do so here betrays a determined effort to  
20 avoid public input, not simply a harmless error. *California v. Azar*, 911 F.3d 558, 580 (9th Cir.  
21 2018) (“The failure to provide notice and comment is harmless only where the agency’s mistake  
22 clearly had no bearing on the procedure used or the substance of [the] decision reached.”).

23 Left standing, the DHS and DOL Rules “would greatly expand the category of decisions  
24 not subject to notice and comment,” Heinzerling, *supra*, at 42, and would jeopardize our most-  
25 jealously guarded democratic principles.

## 26 CONCLUSION

27 For the foregoing reasons, and those in Plaintiffs’ brief, *amici* request that the Court grant  
28 a nationwide preliminary injunction.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: October 30, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Steven M. Levitan  
Steven M. Levitan  
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP  
4085 Campbell Ave., Suite 100  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
(650) 463-4032  
steve.levitan@hoganlovells.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae American  
Council on Education and 23 Other Higher  
Education Organizations*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ADDENDUM**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ADDENDUM – LIST OF *AMICI CURIAE***

**The Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE)** is the national agency for the accreditation of professional degree programs in pharmacy and providers of continuing pharmacy education. ACPE also offers evaluation and certification of professional degree programs internationally and with ASHP accredits pharmacy technician education and training programs.

The **American Association of Community Colleges (AACCC)** is the primary advocacy organization for the nation’s community colleges. It represents more than 1,000 regionally accredited, associate degree-granting institutions.

The **American Association of State Colleges and Universities (AASCU)** is a Washington, D.C.-based higher education association of nearly 400 public colleges, universities, and systems whose members share a learning and teaching-centered culture, a historic commitment to underserved student populations, and a dedication to research and creativity that advances their regions’ economic progress and cultural development. These are institutions are Delivering America’s Promise.

The **American Dental Education Association (ADEA)** represents all 68 U.S. dental schools, over 800 allied and advanced dental education programs, as well as more than 18,000 individual members who educate and train the nearly 50,000 students and residents attending these institutions.

The **Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC)** is a non-profit educational association whose members include all 155 accredited U.S. medical schools, more than 400 major teaching hospitals and health systems, and more than 70 academic and scientific societies. Through these institutions and organizations, the AAMC represents more than 179,000 faculty members, 92,000 medical students, 140,000 resident physicians, and 60,000 graduate students and postdoctoral researchers in the biomedical sciences.

The **Association of American Universities (AAU)** is a nonprofit organization, founded in 1900 to advance the international standing of United States research universities. AAU’s mission is to shape policy for higher education, science, and innovation; promote best practices in undergraduate and graduate education; and strengthen the contributions of research universities to society. Its members include 63 public and private research universities in the United States and two in Canada.

The **Association of Catholic Colleges and Universities (ACCU)** serves as the collective voice of U.S. Catholic higher education. Through programs and services, ACCU strengthens and promotes the Catholic identity and mission of its member institutions so that all associated with Catholic higher education can contribute to the greater good of the world and the Church.

The **Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges (AGB)** is the premier membership organization that strengthens higher education governing boards and the strategic roles they serve within their organizations. Through our vast library of resources, educational events, and consulting services, and with nearly 100 years of experience, we empower 40,000 AGB members from more than 2,000 institutions and foundations to navigate complex issues, implement leading practices, streamline operations, and govern with confidence. AGB is the trusted resource for board members, chief executives, and key administrators on higher education governance and leadership.



1 The **Association of Jesuit Colleges and Universities (AJCU)** represents all 27 Jesuit institutions  
2 in the U.S. (and one in Belize) and is affiliated with over 100 Jesuit institutions worldwide.

3 The **Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU)** is a research, policy, and  
4 advocacy organization dedicated to strengthening and advancing the work of public universities.  
5 With a membership of 240 public research universities, land-grant institutions, state university  
6 systems, and affiliated organizations, APLU's agenda is built on the three pillars of increasing  
7 degree completion and academic success, advancing scientific research, and expanding  
8 engagement. Annually, its 197 U.S. member campuses enroll 4.1 million undergraduates and 1.2  
9 million graduate students, award 1.1 million degrees, employ 1.1 million faculty and staff, and  
10 conduct \$42.4 billion in university-based research.

11 The **College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA-HR)**, the  
12 voice of human resources in higher education, represents more than 31,000 human resources  
13 professionals at over 2,000 colleges and universities. Its membership includes 93 percent of all  
14 United States doctoral institutions, 79 percent of all master's institutions, 58 percent of all  
15 bachelor's institutions, and over 500 two-year and specialized institutions.

16 The **Council for Advancement and Support of Education (CASE)** is a professional association  
17 serving educational institutions and the advancement professionals who work on their behalf in  
18 alumni relations, communications, development, marketing, and allied areas. CASE helps its  
19 members build stronger relationships with their alumni and donors, raise funds for campus  
20 projects, produce recruitment materials, market their institutions to prospective students, diversify  
21 the profession, and foster public support of education.

22 The **Council for Christian Colleges & Universities (CCCU)** is a higher education association of  
23 more than 180 Christian institutions around the world, including more than 150 in the U.S. and  
24 Canada. The CCCU's mission is to advance the cause of Christ-centered higher education and to  
25 help our institutions transform lives by faithfully relating scholarship and service to biblical truth.

26 The **Council of Graduate Schools (CGS)** is an organization of approximately 500 institutions of  
27 higher education in the United States, Canada, and across the globe engaged in graduate  
28 education, research, scholarship, and the preparation of candidates for master's and doctoral  
degrees.

The **Council of Independent Colleges (CIC)** is the major national organization that focuses on  
providing services to leaders of independent colleges and universities and represents 684 private,  
nonprofit liberal arts colleges and universities and 79 state councils and other higher education  
organizations.

**NAFSA: Association of International Educators** is the world's largest nonprofit association  
dedicated to international education and exchange with 10,000 members located at more than 3,500  
institutions worldwide, in over 150 countries. Members of NAFSA share a belief that international  
education advances peace, learning and scholarship, builds respect among different peoples, and  
encourages constructive leadership in a global community.

The **National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO)**, founded in  
1962, is a nonprofit professional organization representing chief administrative and financial  
officers at more than 1,900 colleges and universities across the country. NACUBO works to  
advance the economic vitality, business practices, and support of higher education institutions in  
pursuit of their missions.

1 The **National Association of Diversity Officers in Higher Education (NADOHE)** serves as the  
2 preeminent voice for diversity officers in higher education. Its membership includes more than  
3 1,100 individuals and institutions representing over 750 colleges and universities, affiliated  
4 professional organizations, and state/regional NADOHE chapters across the United States.

5 The **National Association of Independent Colleges and Universities (NAICU)** serves as the  
6 unified national voice of private, non-profit higher education in the United States. With more than  
7 5 million students attending 1,700 independent colleges and universities in all 50 states, the  
8 private sector of American higher education has a dramatic impact on our nation's larger public  
9 interests.

10 The **National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators (NASFAA)** represents  
11 more than 28,000 student financial assistance professionals at nearly 3,000 colleges, universities,  
12 and career schools across the country. NASFAA provides professional development and services  
13 for financial aid administrators; advocates for public policies that increase student access and  
14 success; serves as a forum on student financial aid issues, and is committed to diversity  
15 throughout all activities.

16 The **National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA)** is a voluntary association comprised of  
17 1,098 colleges and universities and 102 athletic conferences. The NCAA is a member-led  
18 organization dedicated to the well-being and lifelong success of college athletes. Nearly 500,000  
19 college athletes compete each year in the NCAA's 24 sponsored sports across its three divisions.  
20 The NCAA annually conducts 90 national championships involving nearly 60,000 students.

21 The **State Higher Education Executive Officers Association (SHEEO)** serves the chief  
22 executives of statewide governing, policy, and coordinating boards of postsecondary education  
23 and their staffs. Founded in 1954, SHEEO promotes an environment that values higher education  
24 and its role in ensuring the equitable education of all Americans, regardless of race/ethnicity,  
25 gender, or socioeconomic factors. Together with its members, SHEEO aims to achieve this vision  
26 by equipping state higher education executive officers and their staffs with the tools to effectively  
27 advance the value of higher education, promoting public policies and academic practices that  
28 enable all Americans to achieve success in the 21st century, and serving as an advocate for state  
higher education leadership.

**NASPA: Student Affairs Administrators in Higher Education** is the leading voice of student  
affairs, driving innovation and evidence-based, student-centered practice throughout higher  
education, nationally and globally.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that, on October 30, 2020, the foregoing was electronically filed through this Court’s CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of filing to all counsel, who are registered users.

/s/ Steven M. Levitan  
Steven M. Levitan